

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/872,990	WARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) _____.

 (2) Anthony Asquith.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to claims 1 and 10 were suggested to provide clear antecedent basis for the claims from the instant specification and for the sake of clarity and accuracy, respectively. Mr. Asquith agreed to FAX a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)